

1 4 JUL 1948

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TECHNICAL NOTE

No. 1606

CYLINDER-HEAD TEMPERATURES AND COOLANT HEAT REJECTIONS

OF A MULTICYLINDER, LIQUID-COOLED ENGINE

OF 1710-CUBIC-INCH DISPLACEMENT

By John H. Povolny, and Louis J. Chelko

Flight Propulsion Research Laboratory Cleveland, Ohio



Washington June 1948

NACA LIBRARY

LANGLEY MEMORIAL AERONAUTICAL
LABORATORY
Langley Field, Va.



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TECHNICAL NOTE No. 1606

CYLINDER-HEAD TEMPERATURES AND COOLANT HEAT REJECTIONS

OF A MULTICYLINDER, LIQUID-COOLED ENGINE

OF 1710-CUBIC-INCH DISPLACEMENT

By John H. Povolny, and Louis J. Chelko

SUMMARY

An extensive investigation of the cooling characteristics of a multicylinder, liquid-cooled aircraft engine of 1710-cubic-inch displacement was conducted at the NACA Cleveland laboratory. The results of this investigation are presented showing the variation of the cylinder-head temperature and the coolant heat rejection with the pertinent engine and coolant variables. The data, which were obtained on five engines, are presented for power outputs up to 1860 brake horsepower, coolant flows from 50 to 320 gallons per minute, and wide ranges of engine speed, manifold pressure, fuelair ratio, inlet-air temperature, ignition timing, exhaust pressure, and coolant composition, temperature, and pressure. The ranges of variables included operation into the boiling range of the coolant for which various phenomena are described.

INTRODUCTION

The cooling characteristics of reciprocating aircraft engines are an important factor for satisfactory performance at extreme conditions of operation. A considerable amount of data on the cooling characteristics of various air-cooled engines has been published by various investigators but little data have been published on the cooling characteristics of liquid-cooled engines.

An extensive investigation of the cooling characteristics of liquid-cooled engines was therefore instituted at the NACA Cleveland laboratory in 1943. The initial phase of this investigation consisted of a series of tests conducted on a single-cylinder engine to provide data for a fundamental study of the heat-transfer processes involved. These data, which isolate the effects of the various engine and coolant variables on the cylinder-head temperatures, are presented in reference 1. In reference 2, an analysis based on the theory of nonboiling forced-convection heat transfer

was made of the cooling processes in a liquid-cooled engine and a semiempirical method of correlating the cylinder-head temperatures with the primary engine and coolant variables, similar to that presented in reference 3 for air-cooled engines, was derived and successfully applied to the data of reference 1.

Following the investigations on the single-cylinder engine, a comprehensive investigation of the cooling characteristics of a multicylinder engine of 1710-cubic-inch displacement was conducted during the period 1944-46. Both the cylinder-head temperatures and the coolent heat rejection were determined for power outputs up to 1860 brake horsepower over wide ranges of engine speed, manifold pressure, fuel-air ratio, inlet-air temperature, ignition timing, exhaust pressure, and coolent flow, composition, temperature, and pressure.

APPARATUS

Engines

The investigation was conducted on five V-1710 engines, which shall be designated hereinafter engines A, B, C, D, and E. All engines were standard production models of the same design with regard to cooling and were unmodified except for the following:

- 1. Engine B was fitted with an aftercooler for part of the investigation.
- 2. Engines C, D, and E were equipped with pistons machined 0.005 inch under standard diameter.
- 3. Engine D was equipped with a variable ignition-timing device for part of the investigation.

These engines are liquid-cooled and have six cylinders in each of two banks. The cylinder bore and stroke are 5.5 and 6.0 inches, respectively, and the displacement of the engines is 1710 cubic inches. The engine models used in the investigation have a compression ratio of 6.65 and are fitted with a single-stage gear-driven supercharger having an impeller diameter of 9.5 inches and a supercharger-to-engine-speed ratio of 9.6. The standard ignition system is timed to fire the intake spark plugs at 28° B.T.C. and the exhaust spark plugs at 34° B.T.C. The valve overlap extends over a period of time equivalent to 74° travel of the crankshaft. Each engine was equipped with an air-blast tube to cool the exhaust spark plugs.

General Engine Setup

A plan view of the engine setup is shown in figure 1.

Power measurement. - The engine was mounted on a dynamometer stand equipped with a 2000-horsepower air-gap eddy-current dynamometer. The engine speed was electronically controlled and was measured on a chronometric tachometer. A calibrated air-balanced diaphragm measured the torque transmitted to the dynamometer.

Combustion-air system. - Combustion air was supplied to the engine by the laboratory central system and was metered with an air orifice, which was installed in the inlet-air ducting according to A.S.M.E. specifications. The temperature of the air was controlled by passing it through either a heater or refrigeration unit and the air was cleaned by passing it through a filter. Thermocouples and pressure taps were installed at the orifice and at the carburetor inlet for measuring the temperature and the pressure of the air at these locations.

Exhaust system. - The engine exhaust gases were removed by means of the laboratory central exhaust system, which also provided the desired exhaust pressures. Water-jacketed exhaust stacks were used for all of the tests except those in which the exhaust pressure was varied. The stacks and collector used for the variable-exhaust-pressure runs were of the type used with a turbosupercharger installation on a typical fighter plane.

Coolant system. - A diagrammatic sketch of the coolant system is shown in figure 2(a). An auxiliary pump installed in the main coolant line and used in conjunction with bypass and throttle valves permitted control of the coolant flow independent of the engine speed. A venturi was used to measure the flow. A valve was located downstream of the venturi to raise the pressure in the venturi throat sufficiently to prevent cavitation during operation at high coolant flows and low coolant pressures. A compressed air and bleed-line combination connected to the coolant expansion tank was used to obtain desired engine coolant-outlet pressures. Vapor separators were installed in the engine coolant-outlet lines to remove air or any vapor that resulted from boiling of the coolant. In order to permit observation of the coolant condition, sight glasses were installed in the engine coolant-outlet vent lines and the vapor-separator vent lines.

The coolant temperature-control unit consisted of two aircrafttype coolers with a bypass line around them and a thermostatically

883

operated mixing valve installed at the junction of the main and bypass lines. Water was used as the cooling medium and the flow was measured with calibrated rotameters.

Oil system. - A diagrammatic sketch of the oil system is shown in figure 2(b). The oil flow to the engine was measured by means of an oil-weighing device incorporated in the oil-supply tank, as described in reference 4. The oil temperature-control unit was similar to that used in the coolant system.

Coolants, fuel, and oil. - The coolants used were AN-E-2 ethylene glycol, 100-percent water, and mixtures of 30-, 50-, and 70-percent by volume of ethylene glycol in water. The specification of AN-E-2 ethylene glycol on a weight basis is 94.5-percent ethylene glycol, 2.5-percent triethanolamine phosphate, and 3.0-percent water. For convenience, AN-E-2 ethylene glycol will be referred to as a "nominal" (by volume) mixture of 97-percent ethylene glycol and 3-percent water. In order to inhibit corrosion, 0.2 percent by volume of NAMET (sodium mercaptobenzothiazole) was added to all coolant mixtures.

For all runs, AN-F-28, Amendment-2, fuel was used and was metered by calibrated rotameters. For knock-free engine operation at high power, 3 percent by volume of xylidines was added and the tetraethyl lead concentration was increased to 6 milliliters per gallon.

The lubricating oil used throughout the tests was Navy 1120.

Instrumentation

Thermocouples for measuring engine temperatures. - The cylinder-head thermocouple installation is shown in figures 3 and 4. Thermocouples were installed in the cylinder head between the exhaust valves for all engines, in the cylinder head between the intake valves for all of the engines except engine B, in the cylinder head at the exhaust spark-plug boss for all of the engines except engines B and E, and on the exhaust-valve guide, the exhaust spark-plug gasket, and the intake spark-plug gasket for engine A only. Several methods were employed to form the hot junctions of the thermocouples located inside the cylinder head. The most satisfactory method was to silver-solder the two leads of the thermocouple to a small brass plug; the plug was then peened into a number 56 drilled hole $\frac{1}{16}$ inch deep located at the bottom of a $\frac{1}{8}$ -inch drilled hole. The leads were packed in place in the $\frac{1}{8}$ -inch

hole with porcelain cement and were covered on the outside of the engine with $\frac{1}{8}$ -inch thin-wall stainless-steel tubing to prevent breakage. The thermocouple holes were located with drill jigs in each cylinder head and in each engine.

Cylinder-barrel thermocouples were installed on engine A, as shown in figure 5. Thermocouples were located at the top of each cylinder barrel on the intake and exhaust sides, and at the middle of each barrel on the exhaust side. In addition, cylinders 1 and 6 of both banks had thermocouples located at the bottom of the barrel on both the intake and exhaust sides. For all locations, the hot junction of each thermocouple was made by spot-welding the wires to the barrel. The hot junction was then covered with an insulating varnish, which was baked dry. The leads were insulated from the barrel by means of a flexible glass sleeve and securely staked at intervals by short pieces of Nichrome wire spot-welded to the barrel. The leads were gathered together and brought out through pressure-tight fittings screwed into the cylinder on the intake side, as shown in figure 4.

All thermocouples used for cylinder-temperature measurements were made of 24-gage iron-constants wire and were connected to self-balancing direct-reading potentiometers.

Thermocouples for measuring liquid temperatures. - The temperatures of the coolant, the oil, and the cooling water were measured at the locations shown in figure 2 by both copper-constantan and iron-constantan thermocouples. The copper-constantan thermocouples were connected to a portable precision-type potentiometer equipped with a sensitive light-beam galvanometer and gave an accurate measurement of the temperature differences across the engine and the coolers. The iron-constantan thermocouples were connected to a self-balancing direct-reading potentiometer and gave an immediate indication of the temperature.

Pressure taps. - Pressure taps were installed in the coolant and oil systems at the locations shown in figure 2. In order to determine the coolant-flow distribution through the engine, pressure taps were also installed across the standard coolant-metering orifices in the cylinder jacket (figs. 6 and 7). These coolant-metering orifices were calibrated in a bench setup.

PROCEDURE AND METHODS

Conditions

Cylinder temperatures and coolant heat rejections were determined over the following range of conditions:

Data were obtained for coolants composed of 100-percent water and mixtures of 30, 50, 70, and 97 percent by volume of ethylene glycol in water.

In order to isolate the effect of the engine and coolant variables on the cylinder temperatures and coolant heat rejection, one of these parameters was varied in each test while, in general, all the others were maintained constant. A complete summary of the conditions of the investigation is presented in table I, and all of the pertinent conditions for each test except ignition timing, exhaust pressure, and oil-inlet temperature are also given in the legend of each figure. These three items are omitted from the figures because they were constant (see table I for values) for all of the tests, except those in which they were the primary variable.

The charge flow (air plus fuel) rather than the brake horsepower was held constant for most of the tests in which one of the
other parameters was varied. For the variable engine-speed and
variable exhaust-pressure tests, the charge flow was maintained
constant by varying the manifold pressure accordingly. For the
variable charge-flow runs, two methods were employed to vary the
charge flow: (1) the engine speed was held constant while the
manifold pressure was varied, and (2) the manifold pressure was
held constant while the engine speed was varied.

8

NACA TN No. 1606 7

The coolant flow was varied at several engine powers for several combinations of coolant temperature, pressure, and composition. The highest coolant flow attainable in each case was limited either by the cavitation characteristics of the pumps at low coolant pressure or the capacities of the pumps at high coolant pressure. The lowest coolant flow attainable was limited by severe boiling of the coolant in the engine. Depending upon the type of tests conducted, either the average or the outlet coolant temperature was held constant.

The ethylene-glycol concentration of the coolant was determined from the boiling point and the specific gravity of samples taken at intervals throughout the investigation.

Calculations

Temperature averages. - The average cylinder temperature for each thermocouple location was determined by averaging the temperatures measured at that location in all 12 cylinders. The average coolant temperature was taken as the arithmetic mean of the measured inlet and outlet temperatures in each case.

Heat rejected to the coolant. - The heat rejected to the coolant was determined by two methods: (1) from the measured temperature rise and flow of the coolant through the engine and (2) from the measured temperature rise and flow of the cooling water. The heat rejected to the coolant is presented on the basis of method 2 because at the low coolant flows, when large amounts of vapor were formed, method 1 would not include the heat of vaporization and at the high coolant flows difficulty was experienced in accurately measuring the small temperature rise incurred in the passing of the coolant through the engine. The external heat losses from the coolant piping were estimated to be about 2 percent of the heat rejected and the heat rejections calculated on the basis of method 2 are corrected for these losses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Relations between Cylinder Temperatures

The relation between the average temperature of the 12 cylinders in the cylinder head between the exhaust valves and the temperature of the hottest cylinder (maximum temperature) measured for the same location is shown in figure 8. All the data obtained on the five engines for the various operating conditions

investigated are presented, and the scatter is within $\pm 10^{\circ}$ F. A linear variation is noted for the entire range of temperatures measured with the maximum temperature ranging from 10° to 20° F higher than the average temperature.

The variation of the average temperatures for the various locations in the cylinders with the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves is shown in figures 9 to 16 for all the data at the various operating conditions. A linear relation exists in each figure and the mean scatter of the data is about ±15° F. The average temperature at the various locations in the cylinder are lower than the temperature between the exhaust valves by the following amounts:

Location	Temperature difference (°F)	Figure	
Between intake valves Exhaust spark-plug boss Exhaust spark-plug gasket Intake spark-plug gasket Exhaust valve guide Middle of barrel, exhaust side Top of barrel, intake side Top of barrel, exhaust side	60 to 110 50 to 75 140 to 155 145 to 180 145 to 170 170 to 210 150 to 170 160 to 190	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	

Because of the linear relation existing between the temperatures in the various locations in the cylinders, the variation of only one of them with the pertinent engine and coolant variables is presented. The average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves was chosen for this purpose because it was measured in the hottest region of the cylinder head and thus is most indicative of critical cooling conditions.

Effect of Engine Variables on Average Cylinder-Head

Temperature between Exhaust Valves

Charge flow and engine power. - The effect of charge flow on the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves is presented in figure 17 for two fuel-air ratios, a range of carburetor-air temperatures, and for both variable-speed and NACA TN No. 1606 9

variable-manifold-pressure data. Although a straight line may be drawn through all the data for each fuel-air ratio, a close inspection shows that the cylinder-head temperature for the variable-speed data increases slightly faster than for the variable-manifold-pressure data for the same change in charge flow. The more rapid increase of the cylinder-head temperature with engine speed is a result of an attendant increase in the inlet-manifold temperature caused by an increase in the temperature rise across the supercharger. The straight lines, which represent all the data, show an increase in average cylinder-head temperature with charge flow of about 50° F per pound per second increase in charge flow.

In order to permit a determination of the variation of the cylinder-head-temperature data of figure 17 with engine power, the variation of engine power with charge flow is presented in figure 18 for engine speeds of 2600, 3000, and 3200 rpm. For each engine speed presented, the engine power increased linearly with charge flow and an increase in engine power of 100 brake horsepower resulted in approximately a 10° F increase in head temperature.

Carburetor-air temperature. - The effect of carburetor-air temperature on the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves is presented in figure 19 for four values of charge flow. For all charge flows presented, the increase in the average cylinder-head temperature with carburetor-air temperature was linear and amounted to about 3° F for an increase of 100° F in carburetor-air temperature.

Engine speed. - The variation of the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves with engine speed is presented in figure 20 for several combinations of charge flow and carburetor-air temperature. For these tests, the charge flow was maintained constant by varying the manifold pressure as the engine speed was varied.

The increase in the average cylinder-head temperature with engine speed was linear for each combination of charge flow and carburetor-air temperature, and the maximum increase amounted to about 8° F for an increase in engine speed of 1000 rpm. As was previously indicated, this variation of average cylinder-head temperature with engine speed is attributed to the increase in manifold temperature caused by an increase in the temperature rise across the supercharger with engine speed.

Fuel-air ratio. - The effect of fuel-air ratio on the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves is presented in figure 21 for several engine operating conditions. The shapes

of the curves are similar, with a maximum cylinder-head temperature occurring at a fuel-air ratio of 0.067. This value of fuel-air ratio is approximately equal to that for the stoichiometric mixture. For an increase in fuel-air ratio from 0.067 to 0.118, the average cylinder-head temperature decreased approximately 65° F for each engine operating condition.

Ignition timing. - The variation of the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves with ignition timing for two values of engine speed is shown in figure 22. A minimum point in the variation occurred for an ignition setting corresponding to an exhaust spark-plug timing of about 30° B.T.C. at an engine speed of 2600 rpm and about 34° B.T.C. at an engine speed of 3000 rpm. The maximum rate of increase in the average cylinder-head temperature with advanced spark timing occurred at an engine speed of 3000 rpm and amounted to about 20° F for an advance in the exhaust spark-plug timing from the minimum point (34° B.T.C.) to 52° B.T.C.

Exhaust pressure. - The variation of the average cylinder-head temperature with exhaust pressure for the condition where the charge flow was held constant by varying the manifold pressure is presented in figure 23(a) for several fuel-air ratio and power conditions. For each condition, the increase in cylinder-head temperature with exhaust pressure is linear and is slightly greater at the lowest fuel-air ratio. For an increase in exhaust pressure of 40 inches of mercury, the increase in the average cylinder-head temperature ranged from about 23° F for a fuel-air ratio of 0.063.

The results obtained when the manifold pressure was maintained constant with attendant variation in charge flow are presented in figure 23(b). For this condition the change in the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves with exhaust pressure was negligible over the entire range of exhaust pressures investigated. This negligible change is due to the fact that the previously determined effect of the increased exhaust pressure was offset by the effect of the corresponding decrease in charge flow.

Variation of cylinder-head temperatures with engine running time. - The variation of the average cylinder-head temperature with engine running time for several thermocouple locations is shown in figure 24. The data presented were obtained at a reference operating condition from time to time during the course of the investigation on engine D. The coolant used for this reference operating condition was composed of 30-percent ethylene

glycol and 70-percent water. Coolants of various compositions were used, however, between the runs at the reference operating condition. For an increase in engine running time from approximately 20 to 150 hours, the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves increased about 200 F, at the exhaust spark-plug boss increased about 60 F, and between the intake valves showed no change. A leveling off of the cylinder-head temperatures in the exhaust side of the cylinder head after about 100 hours running time is noted. A close inspection of the coolant passages of a scrapped cylinder block revealed scale deposits on the exhaust side of the cylinder head but none on the intake side. The increase of the temperatures in the exhaust side of the cylinder head is attributed to these scale deposits. The greater increase with engine running time of the cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves as compared with that at the spark-plug boss is probably the result of a greater amount of scale formation in the hotter region of the cylinder head. The leveling off of the exhaust-side temperatures after about 110 hours running time is probably the result of a stabilization in the amount of scale formed in this region of the cylinder.

The data presented in all the other figures are not corrected for the effect of engine running time so that temperatures obtained for exactly the same operating conditions but at different running times may be slightly different. The temperature variations presented in each figure were not greatly influenced by engine running time, because all runs of a series were run off in a relatively short interval of engine running time.

Coolant-Flow Distribution

The coolant-flow distribution in the cylinder banks is presented in figure 25 on the basis of the percentage of the total coolant flow to the engine. The percentage flow distribution was the same for flows of 144 gallons per minute and 255 gallons per minute. As a result, changes in the total coolant flow to the engine will cause proportional changes in the coolant flow over each cylinder head and barrel. Only the variations in the total coolant flow to the engine will therefore be considered in the following discussions of the effects of coolant flow on cooling.

ы

Affect of Coolant Variables on Average Cylinder-Head Temperatures

between Exhaust Valves

The effects of the coolant variables investigated on the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves are shown in figures 26 to 31. Each variable rather than each figure will be discussed individually, because the effects of certain variables are illustrated in more than one figure.

Coolant composition. - A comparison of the average cylinderhead temperature between the exhaust valves for coolant mixtures varying in composition from 100-percent water to 97-percent ethylene glycol and 3-percent water, is presented in figure 26 for two engine power conditions and a range of coolant flows. These data were obtained for constant average coolant temperature and nonboiling coclant conditions. The boiling or nonboiling condition of the coolant was determined by observation of the coolant in the sight glasses. The change in the average cylinder-head temperature obtained by changing the coolant composition was the same at both engine-power conditions and was essentially independent of coolant flow for all changes in coolant composition except when the coolant composition was changed to or from 97-percent ethylene glycol and 3-percent water. In this case the change in head temperature is greater at the low flows. For all conditions, an increase in the ethylene-glycol concentration of the coolant resulted in an increase in the average cylinder-head temperature. A comparison of the average cylinder-head temperatures obtained when using 100-percent water as the coolant with those obtained when using 97-percent ethylene glycol and 3-percent water shows differences of about 60° F at a coolant flow of 300 gallons per minute, and about 90° F at a coolant flow of 50 gallons per minute.

The average cylinder-head temperatures between the exhaust valves for several coolant mixtures are shown in figures 27 and 28 for ranges of coolant flow at engine powers of 1000 and 1800 brake horsepower, respectively. These data were obtained for constant coolant-outlet temperatures, and are presented for nonboiling and boiling coolant conditions. The relative effect of coolant composition obtained at these two power conditions are similar to and of the same order of magnitude as those noted for figure 26 even though the coolant boiled under certain conditions of coolant flow, temperature, and pressure.

Coolant flow. - The variation of the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves with coolant flow for constant average coolant temperature and nonboiling coolant

conditions is illustrated in figure 26. For all coolant mixtures, the average cylinder-head temperature increased as the coolant flow was decreased. A decrease in coolant flow from 300 to 50 gallons per minute resulted in a maximum increase in the average cylinder-head temperature amounting to approximately 70° F when using the coolant containing 97-percent ethylene glycol and an increase of approximately 38° F when using any one of the other coolants investigated. For these conditions the effect of coolant flow is nearly independent of engine power.

Inspection of figure 27 indicates that for constant coolant-cutlet temperature and nonboiling coolant conditions, the increase in average cylinder-head temperature with decreasing coolant flow is similar but slightly less than that shown in figure 26 for constant average coolant temperature. This smaller increase in cylinder-head temperature is largely the result of the decrease in average coolant temperature accompanying the decreased coolant flow. This decrease in average coolant temperature when the coolant-outlet temperature is held constant amounted to about 6° F for a decrease in coolant flow from 250 to 100 gallons per minute and resulted from the constancy of the coolant heat rejection with changes in coolant flow.

The data presented in figure 28 for two coolant-outlet temperatures and for both nonboiling and boiling coolant conditions indicate that the rate of increase of the cylinder-head temperature with coolant flow is appreciably affected by the coolant temperature and pressure. A decrease in coolant flow from 300 to 60 gallons per minute resulted in increases in the average cylinder-head temperature from about 80 to 300 F depending upon the coolant operating conditions.

During these tests, three different modes of heat transfer were encountered and the existence of a particular mode was dependent upon the combination of coolant temperature, flow, and pressure. The first mode was characterized by the absence of boiling of the coolant and by a relatively large increase in the average cylinder-head temperature with a reduction in coolant flow. This mode is exemplified by the high-pressure and the high coolant-flow data presented in figure 28 for the coolant mixture of 30-percent ethylene glycol and 70-percent water at a constant coolant-outlet temperature of 250°F and also by all of the data presented in figures 26 and 27.

The second mode was characterized by the presence of moderate amounts of boiling as indicated by the passage of vapor through the vent-line sight glasses and by a relatively small increase in

OC IL

the average cylinder-head temperatures between the exhaust valves with reduction in coolant flow. These phenomena are illustrated in figure 28 by all the data for both coolants at a coolant-outlet temperature of 275° F and by the data for the coolant mixture of 30-percent ethylene glycol and 70-percent water at a coolant-outlet pressure of 25 pounds per square inch gage and a coolant-outlet temperature of 250° F for coolant flows less than 120 gallons per minute. In these ranges of operation, boiling of the coolant evidently suppressed the normal tendency of the cylinder-head temperatures to increase as the coolant flow was decreased. The decrease in the average coolant temperature of about 15° F with decreased coolant flow from about 120 to 50 gallons per minute also contributed to the suppression of the normal tendency of the cylinder-head temperature to increase as the flow was decreased.

The third mode was marked by the presence of large amounts of boiling and by a rapid increase in cylinder-head temperatures with a reduction in coolant flow. This effect is shown by the curves in figure 28 for the coolant mixture of 30-percent ethylene glycol and 70-percent water at a coolant-outlet temperature of 250° F and a coolant-outlet pressure of 15 pounds per square inch gage. The difference between the second and third modes is similar to the difference between nuclear and film-type boiling phenomena wherein transition from nuclear to film-type boiling results in decreased heat transfer because of the insulating qualities of the vapor film formed. Besides being dependent upon the combination of coolant-outlet pressure and temperature conditions, the range of flow wherein each of the foregoing effects predominate would also be expected to be dependent upon coolant composition and engine power level.

The different modes of heat transfer are further illustrated in figure 29 wherein the effects of coolant flow on the cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves is illustrated for constant average coolant temperature and for both nonboiling and boiling coolant conditions. Data are presented for a coolant mixture of 30-percent ethylene glycol and 70-percent water and for two combinations of coolant temperature and coolant-outlet pressure. The variation of both the average and the maximum (hottest) cylinder-head temperature are shown and the results are similar in each case. For both average coolant temperatures presented, the increase in the cylinder-head temperature for a decrease in coolant flow from about 220 to 120 gallons per minute was of the same order of magnitude as that shown in figures 26 and 27 for nonboiling coolant conditions. Further reduction of the coolant flow from 120 to 110 gallons per minute resulted in a

NACA TN No. 1606 15

slight decrease in cylinder-head temperature, which is attributed to a sudden transition in the mechanism of heat transfer from mode 1 to mode 2. (This transition was more gradual and did not result in a decrease in cylinder-head temperature for the case where the coolant-outlet temperature was held constant (fig. 28), probably because of the attendant decrease in average coolant temperature with coolant flow.) Further decrease in the coolant flow resulted in a more rapid rise in cylinder-head temperature than was obtained at the high coolant flows. This rapid rise was probably the result of another transition in the mechanism of heat transfer from mode 2 to mode 3.

To recapitulate, the data presented in figures 26 to 29 indicate that, in general, for any large decrease in coolant flow (at least 100 gal/min) the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves increased the greatest amount when the average coolant temperature was held constant and there was no apparent boiling of the coolant; it increased the smallest amount when the coolant-outlet temperature was held constant and there was moderate boiling of the coolant. The greatest rate of increase in cylinder-head temperature with decrease in coolant flow occurred at low coolant flows and low outlet pressures when boiling of the coolant was severe and large amounts of insulating vapor were formed.

Average coolant temperature. - The effect of average coolant temperature on the average cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves for coolant mixtures of 30- and 97-percent ethylene glycol in water is shown in figure 30. For both of these coolants and for the range of engine powers presented, the increase of the average cylinder-head temperature with average coolant temperature was linear and amounted to approximately 85° F for an increase in average coolant temperature of 100° F.

Coolant-outlet pressure. - The variation of the cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves with coolant-outlet pressure is shown in figure 31 for an engine power of 1800 brake horsepower, a coolant mixture of 30-percent ethylene glycol and 70-percent water, and an average coolant temperature of 226° F. Both the average and maximum cylinder-head temperatures are presented at coolant flows of 60 and 200 gallons per minute. At the high coolant flow, the rate of reduction of the cylinder-head temperatures with decreased coolant pressure was uniform over the entire range of pressures covered (fig. 31(b)), which indicates a gradual increase in the boiling of the coolant. At the low coolant flow, the rate of reduction of the cylinder-head temperatures with coolant-outlet pressure increased as the outlet pressure was decreased from 45 to 25 pounds per square inch gage (fig. 31(a)).

Further reduction of the coolant pressure resulted in a leveling off and then an increase in the maximum cylinder-head temperature. This leveling off and increase is attributed to a transition from nuclear to film-type boiling such as occurred under certain conditions when the coolant flow was reduced. For the entire range of coolant pressures, the cylinder-head temperatures varied less than 21° F. Effects of coolant-outlet pressure on the cylinder-head temperature, similar to those just discussed, are also shown in figure 28.

During operation in the boiling range, severe boiling (film type) with a consequent rise in cylinder-head temperature may be encountered when reducing either the coolant flow or the coolant pressure. Although cylinder-head temperatures that are considered excessive were not obtained in the present investigation, it is likely that further reduction of either coolant flow or pressure below the lowest values investigated would result in cylinder temperatures that are considered unsafe.

Effect of Engine Variables on Heat Rejection to Coolant

Heat balance. - The heat balance between the coolant and the coolant cooling water is presented in figure 32. Fair agreement is seen to exist between the results of the two methods of calculating the heat rejection. In the region of high coolant heat rejections, most of the data fall above the match line, which indicates that, in general, a lower value of heat rejection was obtained when calculated on the coolant basis. This lower value of heat rejection is largely due to the fact that on the coolant basis the heat of vaporization would not be included for runs during which the coolant boiled.

Charge flow and engine power. - The variation of the coolant heat rejection with charge flow for two values of fuel-air ratio obtained when the engine speed was held constant and the manifold pressure was varied is shown in figure 33(a). For both fuel-air ratios, the heat rejection to the coolant increased linearly with charge flow; the increase amounted to approximately 72 Btu per second for an increase of 1 pound per second in charge flow.

The variation of the heat rejection to the coolant with charge flow when the manifold pressure was held constant and the engine speed was varied is presented in figure 33(b). The heat rejection increased more rapidly with charge flow for the variable-enginespeed data than for the variable-manifold-pressure data illustrated in figure 33(a). This more rapid increase is attributed largely

NACA TN No. 1606

to the increase in inlet-manifold temperature with engine speed (discussed in connection with the effect of charge flow and also engine speed on the average cylinder-head temperature) and may also be due in part to an increase in the cylinder-wall friction with engine speed.

Carburetor-air temperature. - The variation of the coolant heat rejection with carburetor-air temperature is presented in figure 34 for two values of charge flow. Straight-line curves, similar to those for the corresponding cylinder-head temperature variation, were found to fit the data. The increase in the coolant heat rejection for an increase of 100° F in carburetor-air temperature amounted to about 15 Btu per second for both values of charge flow.

Engine speed. - The variation of the heat rejection to the coolant with engine speed is presented in figure 35 for several engine operating conditions and charge flows. For the engine operating conditions presented, the coolant heat rejection increased linearly with engine speed, approximately 25 Btu per second for an increase in engine speed of 1000 rpm. As previously mentioned, this effect of engine speed on the coolant heat rejection is largely the result of an increase in inlet manifold temperature with engine speed and may also be due in part to an increase in the cylinder-wall friction with engine speed.

Fuel-air ratio. - The effect of fuel-air ratio on the heat rejection to the coolant is presented in figure 36 for two engine power conditions. As for the corresponding cylinder-head temperature variation (fig. 21), the shapes of the curves for the two power conditions are similar with a maximum heat rejection occurring at a fuel-air ratio of 0.067. For an increase in fuel-air ratio from 0.067 to 0.118, the heat rejection to the coolant decreased approximately 100 Btu per second.

Ignition timing. - The relation between the heat rejection to the coolant and ignition timing for two values of engine speed is shown in figure 37. A minimum point, similar to that for the cylinder-head temperature (fig. 22) occurred at an exhaust spark-plug timing of about 30° B.T.C. for an engine speed of 2600 rpm and about 34° B.T.C. for an engine speed of 3000 rpm. The maximum change in the coolant heat rejection occurred at an engine speed of 3000 rpm and amounted to an increase of about 35 Btu per second for an advance in the exhaust spark-plug timing from the minimum point (34° B.T.C.) to 52° B.T.C.

Exhaust pressure. - The effect of exhaust pressure on the heat rejection to the coolant is shown in figure 38. The curves are similar to those of figure 23 wherein the variation of the average cylinder-head temperature with exhaust pressure is presented. The relation between the coolant heat rejection and the exhaust pressure when the charge flow was held constant by varying the manifold pressure is presented in figure 38(a) for several fuel-air ratio and power conditions. For each condition, the increase in the heat rejection with exhaust pressure is linear and is slightly greater at the lowest fuel-air ratio. For an increase in exhaust pressure of 40 inches of mercury, the increase in coolant heat rejection ranged from 37 Btu per second for a fuel-air ratio of 0.100 to 58 Btu per second for a fuel-air ratio of 0.063.

The variation of the coolant heat rejection shown in figure 38(b) for constant manifold pressure and variable charge flow was negligible over the entire range of exhaust pressures investigated. This effect is due to the fact that the previously determined effect of the increased exhaust pressure was offset by the effect of the accompanying decreased charge flow.

Variation of the heat rejection with engine running time. - No measurable change occurred in the heat rejection to the coolant with engine running time.

Effect of Coolant Variables on Coolant Heat Rejection

The variation of the coolant heat rejection with the different coolant variables investigated is presented in figures 39 to 41. As for the plots of the cylinder-head temperatures, the effects of some variables are illustrated in more than one figure and therefore each variable rather than each figure will be individually discussed.

Coolant composition. - The effect of coolant flow on the heat rejected to the coolant for coolant mixtures varying in composition from 100-percent water to 97-percent ethylene glycol and 3-percent water is shown in figure 39. These data were obtained for constant average coolant temperatures and essentially non-boiling coolant conditions. For all conditions investigated, an increase in the ethylene-glycol concentration of the coolant resulted in a decrease in the coolant heat rejection; this decrease was essentially independent of coolant flow. For a change in coolant composition from 100-percent water to 97-percent ethylene glycol and 3-percent water, the decrease in coolant heat rejection was about 22 Btu per second.

NACA TN No. 1606

The heat rejection to the coolant at an engine power of 1800 brake horsepower when using coolant mixtures of 30- and 50-percent ethylene glycol in water is presented in figure 40 for a range of coolant flows at two coolant-outlet temperatures. For these conditions, during which there was considerable boiling of the coolant for most of the runs, the difference between the coolant heat rejections for the two coolants is approximately the same as for the case where there was no boiling of the coolant (fig. 39). The scatter of the data, however, is greater than that for figure 39, probably because of difficulties in accurately measuring the heat rejection under boiling conditions.

Coolant flow. - The variation of the coolant heat rejection with coolant flow shown in figure 39, for constant average coolant temperature and nonboiling coolant conditions, was essentially independent of coolant composition. For a reduction in coolant flow from 300 to 50 gallons per minute, the coolant heat rejection decreased approximately 25 Btu per second.

At constant coolant-outlet temperature and for both boiling and nonboiling coolant conditions (fig. 40) the decrease in coolant heat rejection with decreased coolant flow was less than half that shown in figure 39 for the entire range of coolant flows investigated. This smaller decrease is probably the result of both an increase in the intensity of boiling and a decrease in the average coolant temperature, which accompanied the decrease in coolant flow for these conditions. Thus, as for the cylinderhead temperatures, the effect of coolant flow on the coolant heat rejection is dependent upon boiling or nonboiling coolant conditions and whether the average or outlet coolant temperature is held constant.

Coolant temperature. - The variation of the coolant heat rejection with average coolant temperature for coolant mixtures of 30- and 97-percent ethylene glycol in water for a range of powers from 785 to 1450 brake horsepower is shown in figure 41. For the range of conditions investigated, an increase in the average coolant temperature resulted in a linear decrease in the coolant heat rejection of approximately 50 Btu per second per 100° F increase in coolant temperature.

Coolant pressure. - The coolant heat rejection data plotted against coolant flow in figure 40 were obtained for several coolant-outlet pressures and are keyed accordingly. No significant trends or effects of the coolant-outlet pressure on the coolant heat rejection are evident for the range of conditions investigated.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

From an investigation of the cooling characteristics of a multicylinder, liquid-cooled aircraft engine of 1710-cubic-inch displacement over wide ranges of engine and coolant conditions, the following results were obtained:

- 1. Both the cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves and the coolant heat rejection increased with the following variables:
 - (a) Linearly with charge flow (air plus fuel); the increase amounted to about 50° F and 72 Btu per second, respectively, for an increase in charge flow of 1 pound per second.
 - (b) Linearly with carburetor-air temperature; the increase amounted to about 3° F and 15 Btu per second, respectively, for 100° F increase in air temperature.
 - (c) Linearly with engine speed when the charge flow was held constant (a result of an attendant increase in the manifold temperature resulting from an increase in the temperature rise across the supercharger with engine speed); the increase amounted to about 8° F and 25 Btu per second, respectively, for an increase in engine speed of 1000 rpm.
 - (d) As the fuel-air mixture was leaned to a fuel-air ratio of about 0.067 and then decreased with further leaning. A decrease in fuel-air ratio from 0.118 to 0.067 resulted in increases of 65° F and 100 Btu per second, respectively.
 - (e) As the ignition timing was either increased or decreased from a particular value for each engine speed. The maximum rate of increase occurred at an engine speed of 3000 rpm and amounted to about 20° F and 35 Btu per second, respectively, for an advance in the exhaust spark-plug timing from the minimum point (34° B.T.C.) to 52° B.T.C.
 - (f) Linearly with exhaust pressure when the charge flow was held constant (variable manifold pressure); for an increase in exhaust pressure of 40 inches of mercury the increase ranged from 23° F and 37 Btu per second, respectively, at a fuel-air ratio of 0.100 to 32° F and 58 Btu per second, respectively, at a fuel-air ratio of 0.063. There was no significant change in either head temperature or coolant heat rejection when the manifold pressure was held constant (variable charge flow).

- 2. The cylinder-head temperature between the exhaust valves increased and the coolant heat rejection decreased:
 - (a) With an increase in the ethylene glycol concentration of the coolant. For a change in coolant composition from 100-percent water to 97-percent ethylene glycol and 3-percent water, the cylinder-head temperature increased about 60° F at a coolant flow of 300 gallons per minute and about 90° F at 50 gallons per minute, and the coolant heat rejection decreased about 22 Btu per second for all coolant flows.
 - (b) With a decrease in coolant flow; the magnitude of the change depended upon whether or not the coolant boiled and whether the average or outlet coolant temperature was held constant. For a decrease in coolant flow from 300 to 50 gallons per minute a maximum increase in the cylinder-head temperature of about 70° F and a maximum decrease in the coolant heat rejection of about 25 Btu per second occurred for constant average coolant temperature and non-boiling coolant conditions when using a coolant composed of 97-percent ethylene glycol and 3-percent water.
 - (c) Linearly with an increase in average coolant temperature; the change amounted to about 85° F and 50 Btu per second, respectively, for an increase of 100° F in coolant temperature.
- 3. A linear relation existed among the average temperatures for each location in all of the 12 cylinders and also between the maximum and average temperature for each location.
- 4. The variation of the cylinder-head temperature with coolant-outlet pressure depended upon the mode of heat transfer. For the entire range of pressures tested, the maximum change in head temperature with coolant pressure was less than 21°F.
- 5. The temperature in the cylinder head between the exhaust valves increased about 20° F for an increase in engine operating time from 20 to 150 hours. This increase is attributed to a progressive scale buildup on the coolant passages in the exhaust side of the cylinder head.
- 6. No significant variation of the coolant heat rejection with either coolant pressure or engine running time could be detected.

Flight Propulsion Research Laboratory,
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
Cleveland, Ohio, December 29, 1947.

REFERENCES

- 1. Manganiello, Eugene J., and Bernardo, Everett: Cylinder Temperatures of Two Liquid-Cooled Aircraft Cylinders for Various Engine and Coolant Conditions. NACA ARR No. E5H13, 1945.
- 2. Pinkel, Benjamin, Manganiello, Eugene J., and Bernardo, Everett: Heat-Transfer Processes in Liquid-Cooled Engine Cylinders. I - Correlation of Single-Cylinder Engine Temperatures under Forced-Convection Cooling Conditions. NACA ARR No. ESJ31, 1945.
- 3. Pinkel, Benjamin: Heat-Transfer Processes in Air-Cooled Engine Cylinders. NACA Rep. No. 612, 1938.
- 4. Koffel, William K., and Biermann, Arnold E.: A Unit Laboratory Engine Oil System Providing for a Remote Indication of Oil Flow and Oil Consumption Together with Blow-By Measurement. NACA TN No. 952, 1944.

4			
4			
			_
-	-		
	-		
. .	•		
	·		
	,		
•	•		
•	•		•
•	•		•
•			•
•			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•

TABLE I - SUMMARY

Variable or type of test	Engine power (bhp)	Engine speed (rpm)	Manifold pressure (in. Hg abs.)		Fuel-air ratio	Carbu- retor- air temper- ature	Igni tim (deg	ing B.T.C.)
Engine power or charge flow	750- 873 430- 630	2600	24-48 21-54 26-58 41-73 40 40 30	1.03-2.47 .84-2.76 1.38-3.53 2.35-4.40 1.70-2.18 1.54-2.14 .88-1.60 .93-1.57	0.080 .095 .095 .095 .080 .095 .095	(OF) 60 85 100 -5 60 86 86 86	34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34	28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28
Engine speed	662- 868	2500-3200 2300-3200 2000-3200 2000-3200	32-36 34-40 33-40 31-40	1.72 1.72 1.76 1.58	0.080 .080 .095 .095	17 140 17 143	34 34 34 34	28 28 28 28
Fuel-air ratio	740- 790 731- 837 760- 960	2600 2600 3000	35 34-36 39-41	1.75 1.84 2.20	0.064-0.116 .062109 .064118	66 120 25	34 34 34	28 28 28
Ignition timing	577- 656 780- 922	2600 3000	30 40	1.53 2.19	0.095 .095	40 19	14-54 16-52	8-48 10-46
Carburetor- air temper- ature	786- 794 555- 590 659- 675 798- 846	2600 2600 2600 3000	34-36 27-30 30-34 36-40	1.83 1.39 1.58 2.04	0.095 .090 .095 .095	80-184 -20-170 -27-144 -43-146	34 34 34 34	28 28 28 28 28
Exhaust pressure	427- 882 540- 612 598- 703 620- 726 944- 994 592- 682	2600 2600 2600 2600 3000 3000	35 28-35 30-40 30-42 40-47 30-41	1.36-1.92 1.43 1.60 1.59 2.28 1.66	0.085 .063 .100 .085 .085	32 24 4 39 -2 19	34 34 34 34 34 34	28 28 28 28 28 28
Coclant conditions	1000 1000 1800	2600 2600 3200	44 44 70	2.21 2.21 4.19	0.092 .093 .095	75 80 0	34 34 34	28 28 28
·	780,1160 780,1160 780,1160 780,1160 785 795 1800 1000,1250,	3200 3200 2600,3000 2600,3000 2600,3000 2600,3000 2600 2600 3200 3200 3000	70 70 36,50 36,50 36,50 36,50 36,50 36,50 36,50 42,51,60	4.19 4.19 1.78,2.71 1.78,2.71 1.78,2.71 1.78,2.71 1.80 1.84 4.19 2.39,2.94, 3.40 .73-2.80	.095 .095 .095 .095 .095 .095 .095 .095	0 0 83 83 83 83 83 83 84 0 50	3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28
Engine running time	660	2600	32	1.57	0.095	82	34	28

^aEngine equipped with aftercooler. ^bAN-E-2 ethylene glycol.



^{**}Coolant-outlet temperature.

OF TEST CONDITIONS

Pressure Carlo C	Exhaust	Coolar	ıt,	Coolant	Aver age	Engine	Oil-inlet	Engine
abs.	pressure	glycol-v	rater	flow	coolant			
Sthylene Water				(gar/mrn)			(-1)	
29-30	205.				` - /	(lb/sq in.		
29-30		glycol				gage)	180	
29-30	<u> </u>							
29-30	!							B ^a
SO	29-30							D
30								JC
30	11 1							Ď
29-30	J					30	176	С
29-30 30 70 300 245 35 180 D)							
30	29-30							
29-30]				245	35	180	D
\$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c]							
29-30 30	29-30							
\$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	29-30		70	300	245	35	180	D
\$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	1 20-00						180	D
Society]							
10-61 30 70 250 245 35 180 D	29-30							
6-45 30 70 250 245 35 180 D 10-60 30 70 250 245 35 180 D 10-61 30 70 250 245 35 180 D 12-52 30 70 250 245 35 180 D 12-52 30 70 250 245 35 180 D 12-59 30 70 250 245 35 180 D	iJ						180	D
10-60								D
10-61							180	b i
12-59 30 70 250 245 35 180 D					245	35	180	D
b97 3 200-300 c220,c250,c275 10 180 A 30 70 100-280 c220,c250 10,20,30 180 A 30 70 50-300 c250,c275 15,20,25,35; 188 C 50 50 55-300 c250,c275 15,25,35; 180 C 30 70 55-225 223 18 180 E 30 70 90-200 250 22 180 E 30 70 50-300 245 35 180 D 30 70 50-300 245 35 180 D 30 70 50-300 245 35 180 D 50 50 50-300 245 35 180 D 50 70 30 50-300 245 35 180 D 50 70 30 50-300 245 35 180 D 50 70 200 149-283 35 180 D 50 70 60,200 226 16-45 180 D 30 70 60,200 226 16-45 180 E 50 70 30 220 c250,c275 20,25,35,38 170-226 B 50 70 30 144-255 c250 Varied with coolant flow A 50 70 70 70 70 70 70 70								
30	12-59		 					
29-30	11							
So] [c250,c275	15,20,25,35;		
30 70 55-225 223 18 180 E 30 70 90-200 250 22 180 E 0 100 50-300 245 35 180 D 30 70 50-300 245 35 180 D 30 70 30 50-300 245 35 180 D 70 30 50-300 245 35 180 D 97 3 50-320 245 35 180 D 997 3 50-320 245 35 180 D 997 3 200 149-283 35 180 D 997 3 200 149-283 35 180 D 997 3 200 150-302 35 180 D 30 70 60,200 226 16-45 180 E 30 70 220 2250,275 20,25,35,38 170-226 B	11			SE 700	COEO COME	30,35,45	100	ا م ا
29-30					-	25,35,45	_	
29-30 100 50-300 245 35 180 D 180 D 29-30 50 50 50-300 245 35 180 D 245 245 250 245 250 250,25,35,38 170-226 B 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250 250,25,35,38 250 250 250 250 250,25,35,38 250								
29-30 30								
70	11		70	50-300	245	35		D
b97	29-30							D D
30								1 5 1
50 70 60,200 226 16-45 180 E 30 70 220 2250,275 20,25,35,38 170-226 B b97 3 144-255 250 Varied with coolant flow	11					35	180	מ
b97 3 144-255 c250,c275 20,25,35,38 170-226 B Varied with coolant flow								
coolant flow								
coolant flow		b97	3	144-255	°250	Varied with	180	A
29-30 30 70 300 245 35 180 D	IJ							
	29-30	30	70	300	245	35	180	D
	L		l	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

NACA




Figure 1. - Plan view of engine setup.




Figure 2. - Diagrammatic sketch of coolant and oil systems.




Figure 3. - Installation of cylinder-head thermocouples




Figure 4. - Installation of exhaust-valve-guide thermocouple on engine and method of bringing out cylinder-barrel thermocouple leads.

			ø
			•
			•

31



Figure 5. - Installation of thermocouples on exhaust side of barrel (Photograph by General Motors Corporation Allison Division.)

					•
				r	
•					
					•
			·		
	•				
					•
					•
		- w			




Figure 6. - Pressure-tap installation across orifice in cylinder jacket.

			•
	•		
-			
·			
			•
			٠
			-
			 ·· ··




Figure 7. - installation of pressure taps on engine.

				•
				•
•				
				•
				•
	·	-	-	
				•
				•




Figure 8. - Relation between average and maximum temperatures of cylinder head between exhaust valves.




Figure 9. - Relation between average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves and average cylinder-head temperature between intake valves.




Figure 10. - Relation between average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves and average cylinder-head temperature at exhaust spark-plug boss.




Figure 11. - Relation between average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves and average exhaust spark-plug-gasket temperature.




Figure 12. - Relation between average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves and average intake spark-plug-gasket temperature.

NACA TN No. 1606




Figure 13. - Relation between average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves and average exhaust-valve-guide temperature.




Figure 14. - Relation between average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves and average cylinder-barrel temperature (middle, exhaust side).




Figure 15. - Relation between average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves and average cylinder-barrel temperature (top, intake side).




Figure 16. - Relation between average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves and average cylinder-barrel temperature (top, exhaust side).




Figure 17. - Effect of charge flow on average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves. Coclant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coclant temperature, 245° F; coclant flow, 250 to 300 gallons per minute; engine coclant-outlet pressure, 13 to 35 pounds per square inch




Figure 18. - Variation of engine power with charge flow. Coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 250 to 300 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 13 to 35 pounds per square inch gage.




Figure 19. - Effect of carburetor-air temperature on average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves. Coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 250 to 300 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 25 to 35 pounds per aquare inch gage.




Figure 20. - Effect of engine speed on average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves. Coolant, 30-70 ephylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 300 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 35 pounds per aquare inch gage; engine D.




Figure 21. - Effect of fuel-air ratio on average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves. Coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 220 to 270 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 27 to 35 pounds per square inch gage.





Figure 22. - Effect of ignition timing on cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves. Fuel-air ratio, 0.095; coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 300 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 35 pounds per square inch gage; engine D,



(b) Constant manifold pressure with variable charge flow. Engine speed, 2600 rpm; manifold pressure, 35 inches mercury absolute; charge flow, 1.36 to 1.92 pounds per second; fuel-air ratio, 0.085; parburetor-air temperature, 320 F.

Figure 23. - Effect of exhaust pressure on average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves. Coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 250 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 35 pounds per square inch gage; engine D.




Figure 24. - Variation of average cylinder-head temperatures with engine running time. Engine speed, 2600 rpm; manifold pressure, 32 inches mercury absolute; charge flow, 1.57 pounds per second; fuel-air ratio, 0.095; carburetor-air temperature, 82° F; coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; coolant flow, 300 gallons per minute; average coolant temperature, 245° F; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 35 pounds per square inch gage; engine D.





Figure 25. - Coolant-flow distribution in cylinder banks.



(b) Engine power, 1160 brake horsepower; engine speed, 3000 rpm; manifold pressure, 50 inches mercury absolute; charge flow, 2.71 pounds per second.

Figure 26. - Effect of coolant flow on average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves for constant average coolant temperature and nonboiling coolant conditions with various ethylene glycol-water mixtures. Fuel-air ratio, 0.095; carburetor-air temperature, 83° F; average coolant temperature, 245° F; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 35 pounds per square inch gage; engine D.




Figure 27. - Effect of coolant flow on average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves for several constant coolant-outlet temperatures and nonboiling coolant conditions. Engine power, 1000 brake horsepower; engine speed, 2600 rpm; manifold pressure, 44 inches mercury absolute; charge flow, 2.21 pounds per second; fuel-air ratio, 0.092 to 0.093; carburetor-air temperature, 750 to 800 F; engine A.





Figure 28. - Effect of coolant flow on average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves for several constant coolant-outlet temperatures and for boiling and nonboiling coolant conditions. Engine power, 1800 brake horsepower; engine speed, 3200 rpm; manifold pressure, 70 inches mercury absolute; charge flow, 4.19 pounds per second; fuel-air ratio, 0.095; carburetor-air temperature, 0° F; engine C.



(b) Average coolant temperature, 250° F; engine coolantoutlet pressure, 22 pounds per square inch gage.

Figure 29. - Effect of coolant flow on cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves for several average coolant temperatures. Engine power, 1800 brake horsepower; engine speed, 3200 rpm; manifold pressure, 70 inches mercury absolute; charge flow, 4.19 pounds per second; fuel-air ratio, 0.095, carburetor-air temperature, 0° F; coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; engine E.




Figure 30. - Effect of average coolant temperature on average cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves. Coolant flow, 190 to 220 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 10 to 35 pounds per square inch gage.




Figure 31. - Effect of engine coolant-cutlet pressure on cylinder-head temperature between exhaust valves when using 30-70 ethylene glycol-water as coolant at average coolant temperature of 226° F. Engine power, 1800 brake horsepower; engine speed, 3200 rpm; manifold pressure, 70 inches mercury absolute; charge flow, 4.19 pounds per second; fuel-air ratio, 0.095; carburetor-air temperature, 0° F; engine E.




Figure 32. - Heat balance between coolant and coolant cooling water.




Figure 33. - Effect of charge flow on heat rejection to coolant. Coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 250 to 300 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 13 to 35 pounds per square inch gage.




Figure 34. - Effect of carburetor-air temperature on heat rejection to coolant. Fuel-air ratio, 0.095; coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 300 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 35 pounds per square inch gage; engine D.




Figure 35. - Effect of engine speed on heat rejection to the coolant. Coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 300 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 35 pounds per square inch gage; engine D.




Figure 36. - Effect of fuel-air ratio on heat rejection to coolant. Coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 220 to 270 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 27 to 35 pounds per square inch gage.





Figure 37. - Effect of ignition timing on heat rejection to coolant. Fuelair ratio, 0.095; coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 300 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 35 pounds per square inch gage; engine D.



(b) Constant manifold pressure with variable charge flow:
Engine speed, 2600 rpm; manifold pressure, 35 inches mercury absolute; charge flow, 1.36 to 1.92 pounds per second; fuel-air ratio, 0.085; carburetor-air temperature, 32° F.

Figure 38. - Effect of exhaust pressure on heat rejection to coolant. Coolant, 30-70 ethylene glycol-water; average coolant temperature, 245° F; coolant flow, 250 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 35 pounds per square inch gage; engine D.




Figure 39. - Effect of coclant flow on heat rejection to coclant. Engine power, 780 brake horsepower; engine speed, 2600 rpm; manifold pressure, 36 inches mercury absolute; charge flow, 1.78 pounds per second; fuel-air ratio, 0.095; carburetor-air temperature, 830 F; average coclant temperature, 2450 F; engine coclant-outlet pressure, 35 pounds per square inch gage; engine D.




Figure 40. - Effect of coolant flow on coolant heat rejection. Engine power, 1800 brake horsepower; engine speed, 3200 rpm; manifold pressure, 70 inches mercury absolute; charge flow, 4.19 pounds per second; fuel-air ratio, 0.095; carbure tor-air temperature, 0° F; engine C.




Figure 41. - Effect of average coolant temperature on heat rejection to coolant. Coolant flow, 190 to 220 gallons per minute; engine coolant-outlet pressure, 10 to 35 pounds per square inch gage.